

Neutron Imaging of Soil, Rhizosphere & Root Water Dynamics

MaxIV/ESS Workshop
June 17, 2019

Jeffrey M. Warren, Staff Scientist
*Environmental Sciences Division
& Climate Change Science Institute*

*Hassina Bilheux, Philip Bingham,
Alex Johs, Eric Pierce, Ken Tobin*
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA

Keita DeCarlo, Princeton University
Ed Perfect, University of Tennessee





Outline

- **Why Neutron Imaging?**
- **Neutron Sources at ORNL**
- **Examples of NI of Plants and Soils**
 - **Structure, Dynamics**
 - **Water, Water flux**
 - **Analysis and Modeling**
- **Advanced Imaging Techniques**
- **New Spallation Imaging Beamlines**
- **Future Directions**




Neutrons Measure Structure (& Dynamics!)

Neutron Diffraction




Neutron diffraction of D_2 sorption in $Cu_3[Co(CN)_6]_2$




Nuclear and electronic density in enzymes

Neutron Scattering





SANS used to construct protein kinase A (PKA)




Characterization of biological membranes, colloids, porosity, etc.


Neutron Microscopy



Soil-root interface (rhizosphere)




Computed tomography





In Vivo Study of Embolism Formation


Neutron Imaging



Hydraulic dynamics in plant-soil-earth systems



Ice/water segregation in permafrost structures



Granite-Type

Inferred structure (indirect)

Direct structure

10^{-11}

10^{-9}

10^{-7}

10^{-5}

10^{-3}

Dimension (meters)

Kenneth W. Tobin, Director, Reactor & Nuclear Systems Division

Plant & Soil Neutron Imaging at ORNL

- Strong need to further understand complex processes *in situ*
- Investigate soil and plant responses to external stimuli
- Temporal & spatial dynamics of water within soil and plant
- Understand soil-microbe-root rhizosphere dynamics
- Improve mechanistic models of roots, water, compounds and carbon fluxes
- Carbon sequestration, transformation, mineral interactions



Leaves Easy



Soil & Roots Hard






Anna Jensen
in Panama




Rob Coulombe
in Dirt

Oak Ridge National Laboratory's SNS and HFIR are World Class Neutron Facilities



Imaging at ORNL HFIR CG-1D Beamline




CG-1D Cold Neutron Imaging



Beam Spectrum	Cold ($1.8 \text{ \AA} < \lambda < 6 \text{ \AA}$)
Spatial resolution	40 μm MCP, 50-200 μm LiF/ZnS scint.
L/D ratio	400-800
Flux on sample (n/cm ² /s)	1×10^7
Field of View	2 cm x 2 cm up to 8 cm x 8 cm in transmission




Structure




Fluid flow





Materials







Populus and Vitis

Plants in Beam Line




Populus and *Vitis* radiographs - screenshot





Looking down neutron path to target area



Switchgrass & maize seedlings

**15 x 15 cm
1 cm depth**

Tape covered water injection port

P7


Root distribution, competition, symbiosis

Composite Radiographs


Coarse and fine root morphology and distribution readily visible

Fungal hyphal mass visible near roots of switchgrass, revealing substantial hydration of the rhizosphere


Triangular pattern in soil indicates varying water content & porosity due to separation of particle sizes as chamber was filled with sand



Root Growth





Root Growth



**Pulse
of
water
added**

**Fine sand
more
roots
Different
SWRC
Interface**





Dynamics - Root water uptake, root dehydration



Image 1 → H₂O applied → Image 2


Image 1 – Image 2

**Pulse of H₂O injected
at base of container**

Difference between two images shows change in contrast (white) indicating water uptake and flow

Blue arrows shows opposite change in contrast (black) where water was removed from the system

New root hydration of rhizosphere





Rhizosphere development over time, root and hyphal water and exudate release

Glomalin, surfactants & organic matter change soil hydraulic, physical, chemical and biological properties – Dynamics!

Dynamics!

**Injecting water
near targeted roots**

**Track water vapor and
saturated/unsaturated
flow through the soil**



Water Uptake by Roots and Stem

- ability to assess individual roots in situ
- leverage contrast difference in D vs H attenuation


Time after 6 ml of D₂O injection 7 cm below deepest roots

100 min


200min

460 min

850 min




approximate
injection site




D2O uptake by maize over 14 h - HFIR

Water Uptake by Roots and Stem

- Pulse of deuterium (D_2O) added to surface of soil
- Uptake and replacement of existing water within the system illustrated by changes in contrast through time.




3D Tomography





10-d old maize seedling 

- Neutron radiograph at 100 μm pixel resolution illustrating root distribution (0.2-1.6 mm)
- Track water flow through three roots




Timing of water uptake and transport illustrating impact of solar radiation on rate of water flux in stem, and ~0.5 mm first and second order roots.


Quantifying and modeling water movement and extraction patterns



Smaller roots, greater water extraction rates, but also greater dehydration rates



Use of root-free soil hydraulic properties does not fit data – role of roots/hyphae




Root uptake, hydraulic redistribution and soil drainage all contribute to the uncertainty in near surface modeling with roots, indicating new research needs.


Dhiman et al. 2018. Quantifying root water extraction after drought recovery using sub-mm in situ empirical data. Plant and Soil 424:73-89.

Automated Image Processing


A1. Stitching




A2. Creating Mask




A3 Assigning Soil to Root







B. Norm. + Stitch + Crop



C. Segmentation



D. Thickness




H. Integrated Root-Soil Analysis

Keita DeCarlo
(Princeton) 2019
(in preparation)

Pore Water Distribution

Idealized Distribution



- Need to understand flow and transport in variably-saturated porous media
- Water, contaminants, dissolved ions, multiphase liquids
- Numerical modeling often assumes idealized distribution and boundary conditions, black box
- In reality, soils and rocks are extremely heterogeneous, requiring novel techniques

Measured Distribution







Image from Mortensen et al. (2001)



Point water retention curves





- Used hanging water column
- Measured point functions varied with column height
- Heterogeneity due to packing procedure
- Input parameters for numerical model

Advanced techniques to improve resolution

Gadolinium coded mask

Wafer Gd704 with 10.5 μm thick SU8: Example of the pattern before Gd etch





Gd704 after Gd patterning:
3D map of a 10 μm 293x293
aperture fragment


Neutron Microscopy – Improved Resolution with Coded Aperture




Measurements at CG1 – Philip Bingham




200um mask
11x11 base
5.5 μm thick Gd
Mag 18x




100um mask
31x31 base
5.5 μm thick Gd
Mag 16.6x





50um mask
61x61 base
5.5 μm thick Gd
Mag 20.5x



20um mask
151x151 base
9 μm thick Gd
Mag 24.3x



10um mask
293x293 base
9 μm thick Gd
Mag 24.3x



2.5x2.5mm
sub-image from
direct
radiograph

TOF Neutrons Provide a Wealth of Information about Structure and Material

Transmission
and CT

Bragg edge

Coded
aperture

Dark field

- Structure of complex engineered materials in 2D and 3D
- Microscopic resolution
- Material type
- Material phase
- Polycrystalline texture
- Residual and applied stress
- Isotopic distribution
- Porosity and pore size distribution
- Magnetic domain characterization


Energy Selective Imaging

Energy-selective neutrons provide the ability to measure differential neutron attenuation interactions such as Bragg edge phenomena


Contrast for various elements are differentially enhanced, revealing additional information on material characteristics

Below – Grapevine stem tissue imaged at ORNL SNS SNAP beam line. Moist (top) or partially-dry (bottom)

Neutron Wavelength Selection
0.3 – 1.0 Å 3 – 4 Å







Better contrast was achieved using lower energy neutron wavelengths




Photo

Measure of residual stress/strain characteristics of metals can be achieved using Bragg edge imaging



Kockelmann et al., NIMA, Vol. 578 (2007) 421


Material Phase



E.H. Lehmann, et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A (2010), doi:10.1016/j.nima.2010.11.191


H₂O

D₂O





Isotopes also have different neutron attenuation

3D Map of Material Phase Using Energy Selective Neutron Tomography



R. Woracek et al. Adv. Mat. (2014) 26:4069-4073

Small Angle Neutron Scattering




*Realignment of the flux-line lattice by a change in the symmetry of superconductivity in UPt_3
Nature 406, 160-164(13 July 2000)*

- SANS uses elastic neutron scattering, σ_e , at small angles to investigate material structure at the 1-100nm scale
- Measures the scattering length of neutrons, differentiating materials, isotopes, complex magnetic structures, and the structure and formation of polymers, etc.

Future NI at the SNS VENUS Beamline


Neutron Bragg edge imaging provides microstructure information of crystalline structures (lattice spacing, strain, preferred grain orientations, etc.)



(a) A photograph shows an apparently featureless piece of AM Inconel 718 metal, fabricated using additive manufacturing techniques at the Manufacturing Demonstration Facility (MDF) of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. (b) A polychromatic neutron radiograph measured at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) CG-1D imaging beamline of the same metal does not show any feature either. (c)-(e) Wavelength-dependent or Bragg-edge neutron radiography reveals regions of preferred crystallographic orientation, which were intentionally produced to form the letters DOE (the U.S. Department of Energy sponsored this project).


Future NI at the SNS VENUS Beamline

Neutron Resonance Imaging provides 3D isotope density mapping



Left: False color rendered volume of ^{238}U nuclear fuel TRISO spheres.

Bottom: corresponding ^{238}U resonances measured at the SNS SNAP high pressure diffractometer.



The CT scan represents the density distribution of ^{238}U . We used the images corresponding to the peaks on the right side. At SNS, you can collect neutrons at different energies so you can measure images at different energies. If you collect the images corresponding to the ^{238}U , then you can map in 3D where the specific isotope is located.

Advanced Neutron Imaging

- Leverage three ORNL Neutron Sources

- **HFIR (current)**

- Continuous neutron flux
- 15 instruments




- **FTS (planned)**

- Pulsed source (60 Hz)
- High resolution
- 24 instruments




- **STS (proposed)**

- Pulsed source (15 Hz)
- Large dynamic range
- 22 instruments




Planned ORNL SNS VENUS Beamline at FTS


VENUS (Beamline 10)	
Beam Spectrum	Epithermal, Thermal, Cold
Moderator	H2 decoupled poisoned
Repetition rate	60 Hz
Wavelength bandwidth	~ 2.5 Å (Time-Of-Flight mode)
Spatial resolution	~ 50-100 microns
Resolution $\Delta\lambda/\lambda$	~ 0.12 % (at ~ 1Å)
Source-to-detector distance	25 m
L/D ratios	300 to 2000
Sample-to-detector distance	As close as possible to detector
Sample stage capability	500 kg maximum weight load, 1 m translation normal to beam, ~ 85 cm vertical travel from beam center, 0.5 m translation in the beam direction (provided by SNAP imaging project)
Detection system and resolution	CCD and Micro-Channel Plate (to be provided by K. Herwig's group) detectors
Maximum field of view	20 cm x 20 cm



STS – The Second Target Station at SNS





Complexity – leverage multiple techniques



Future Soil-Plant Neutron Imaging Research

- Root and mycorrhizal/bacterial interactions
- Plant or rhizosphere water dynamics – Carbon? Nutrients?
- Root – soil – water physical or chemical interactions
- Water/Chemical flow through porous media, mixed phases
- Soil development, Carbon sequestration
- Belowground competition
- Plant-stress dynamics



Thanks!

Questions?



The HFIR CG1D and SNS beamlines are Public User Facilities: <http://neutrons.ornl.gov/users/>

*Funding provided by: The U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program.
The LDRD Program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. DOE (DE-AC05-00OR22725)
The ORNL Neutron Facilities High Flux Isotope Reactor and the Spallation Neutron Source are funded by DOE Basic Energy Sciences.*

Wood Pyrolysis - multimodal assessment

- 1) Neutron Imaging
- 2) X-ray
- 3) offline spectrometry

Neutron Computed Tomography (below)
- internal structure, density, H distribution

