



# Illuminating the mechanisms behind contaminant behavior in below-ground heterogeneous redox environments

#### Kristin Boye Associate Staff Scientist, SSRL/SLAC

#### SLAC Groundwater Quality SFA https://www-ssrl.slac.stanford.edu/sfa/











EMSL





# **SLAC Groundwater Quality SFA**



# **DOE legacy and contaminant research sites**



Uranium Mill Tailings Remediation Cleanup Action (UMTRCA)

- Mill tailings, ore, and contaminated soil removed
- Groundwater still contaminated



#### **Upper Colorado River Basin**

# **Riverton, Wyoming**

# Floodplains exhibit dynamic hydrology



Sep

### **Floodplain Subsurface**



Active meandering changes depositional environment over time

Organic matter often most abundant in finer sediments

Water saturation leads to oxygen depletion

"Naturally reduced zones" (NRZs)







# **Approaches to understanding contaminant behavior**



Field measurements

#### Field samples





Field material



Experiments







## Laboratory analyses





#### Observables

Analyses

Aqueous concentrations	IC, ICP, TOC, colorimetric assays, microsensors	
Redox conditions	Sensors ( <i>in situ</i> or in lab)	
Aqueous element speciation	IC, colorimetric assays, FT-ICR-MS, LC-ICP-MS	
Solid phase concentrations	XRF, EA	
Solid phase mineralogy, element	XRD, (µ)XANES, EXAFS, STXM, NanoSIMS, TEM,	
speciation, spatial distribution/association	SEM, Mössbauer, extractions	
Microbial community composition	16S iTAG sequencing	
Microbial activity and function	Metabolomics, metagenomics, metatranscriptomics,	
	qPCR, calorimetry, GC, MicroResp	
Transport (rates/direction)	Tracers ( <i>e.g.</i> Br, NO3, dyes, isotopes)	

# **Develop a process-based systems understanding**

Process representations for reactive transport models based on field and experimental data

- Generate accurate and precise predictions
- Transferrable between sites that function similarly
- Compliance with machine-learning capabilities for processing data and improve model



# Sorption to Organic Matter Controls Uranium Mobility

Bulk U L<sub>III</sub>–edge EXAFS combined with STXM (spatially resolved C speciation + Fe, Al, Si mapping), and NanoSIMS revealed:

U(IV) adsorb on organic carbon and organic carbon-coated clays  $\rightarrow$ refutes previously assumed dominance of U(IV) minerals (e.g. uraninite)

This implies that **U(IV) is much more** reactive and able to participate in repeated biogeochemical cycling than previously thought



SLAC





Sharon Bone

NanoSIMS images of cells colonizing detrital organic matter; Uranium binds to cells and interstitial organics.



Bone et al. (2017) PNAS 114(4), 711-716.

# **NRZs** accumulate uranium regionally

Bulk U L<sub>III</sub>–edge EXAFS and XANES, S k-edge XANES, Fe k-edge XANES and EXAFS combined with mössbauer spectroscopy, FTICRMS, chemical extractions, and hydrological measurements show:

- NRZs are common, and strongly accumulate sulfides, U(IV), and thermodynamically preserved organic C compounds
- Seasonal redox cycles mobilize elements  $\rightarrow$ strong *vertical transport* both UP (evapotrans) and DOWN (infiltration)
- U(IV) oxidation is faster than diffusion rate  $\rightarrow$ *U(VI) also accumulates in seasonal NRZs* and is stable against reduction







Boye et al. Nat Geo Sci 2017, 10, 415-419 Noël, V. et al. STOTEN 2017a, 603-604, 663-675 Noël, V. et al. ES&T 2017b, 51, 10954-10964 Noël, et al. (2019) Water Research 152, 251-263

# Field data helps build conceptual models and develop hypotheses



But we need manipulative experiments (field and lab) to test hypotheses and parameterize model reaction networks

# Magnitude of NRZ control on groundwater

- Riverton aquifer sand
- 0, 1, 2, or 3 NRZs
- Artificial aerated groundwater
  - High SO<sub>4</sub>, alkalinity (pH ~8)
  - U and NO<sub>3</sub> (last 2 weeks)
- 10 weeks, weekly water samples

Initial solid phase concentrations:

Constituent	NRZ sediment	Aquifer Sand
Total U (µg/g)	69±4	<0.4
Total As (µg/g)	0.7±0.1	1.2±0.4
Total S (mg/g)	6.45±0.04	6.49±0.03
Total C (mg/g)	37.22±0.02	0.028±0.002
Total Fe (mg/g)	31±2	7.1±0.3







### **End-point groundwater chemistry**

→ NH4 (mg/L) 4 6 8 10 0 2 4 6 8 10 0 2 4 6 8 10 0 2 6 8 10 **Reduced species** outside NRZs -Fell (mg/L) -D-Sulfide (uM) 1 NRZ **2 NRZ** 60 80 0% 50% 100% 0% 50% 100% Port 7 Port 7 Port 5 Port 5 ----Port 3 Port 3 Arsenite Thio-As Arsenate Arsenite Thio-As Arsenate **Aqueous As speciation** 60 -----As (ug/L) Port 7 Port 5 Port 3 →-U (mg/L) Arsenite Thio-As Arsenate 13

### Bulk As XANES (SSRL BL 7-3)

- Initial As mostly as arsenate, As(V)
- As accumulation in NRZs: mainly AsS adsorbed to organics
- In sand, As speciation shifted along flowpath
  - AsS before first NRZ (port 1)
  - Thiolated As species after NRZs





### μXRF and spot Fe XANES at SSRL BL 6-2

- Accumulation of Fe(II) on influent side of NRZ
- Depletion of Fe(II) and/or accumulation of Fe(III) on effluent side of NRZs
- Only Fe(II) inside NRZs (FeS, clay/org C)





# **µXRF and spot S XANES at SSRL BL 6-2** Bulk S XANES at SSRL BL 4-3

S6

S50

- Sulfate reduction along flowpath (sand & NRZs)
- Elemental S in sand before first NRZ (port 1)
- FeS in & after NRZs





# Both solid and aqueous phase speciation required to explain As behavior

Solid phase AsS only forms where Fe(II)<sulfide and sulfide>>As

Weeks

Fe(II)>sulfide promotes Thio-As & high aqueous As ----Fe (µM) Without sulfide, aqueous As remains as As(V) ──Sulfide (µM) →As (µg/L) **1 NRZ 2 NRZ 3 NRZ** 60 60 -WHO limit (10 µg/L) s (µg/L) fide (µM) Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) 40 40 Port 7 Solid Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) 0 20 Port 6 Thio-As SA 60 60 Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) s (µg/L) ide (µM Port 5 60 20 20 Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) 0 0 0 0 0 Port 4 60 60 60 Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) 00 s As (µg/L) sulfide (µM) (Mu) 40 0 Port 3 20 20 60 Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) 0 0 10 Port 2 60 60 60 Aqueouos As (µg/L) Aqueous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) 00 00 Aqueouos As (µg/L) queous sulfide (µM) Aqueous Fe (µM) As (µg/L, ulfide (µM) ∃40 40 Port 1 0 10 0 5 20 20 Weeks 0 0 5

# NRZs drove the system to locally reducing conditions in volumes >2 times their size



# **Process-based model development**

<u>Currently</u>: Calibration of the column experiments (reactive transport code CrunchFLow)

Transport calibration (Br tracer) Br- (C/C\_)at t=0.2days variation 2 

<u>Future steps</u>: Integration of the unsaturated flow dynamics (code Parflow-CrunchFlow) and development of field-scale model for the Riverton site (HPC cluster Sherlock, Stanford)

Tristan Babey

Geochemical model calibration





# It takes a village...



Tristan Babey



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Legacy Management

# Thank You!

SLAC-SFA team:

Vincent Noël, Naresh Kumar, Bradley Tolar, Tristan Babey Zach Perzan, Emily Cardarelli, Callum Bobb, Christian Dewey Sharon Bone, Juan Lezama Pacheco John Bargar, Scott Fendorf, Chris Francis, Kate Maher

Stanford lab:

Lilia Barragan, Laura Spielman Melanie Rose-Cahill, Alexander Ederer Guangchao Li, Doug Turner Fendorf group

SSRL: Nick Edwards, Sam Webb, Erik Nelson

Matthew Latimer, Ryan Davis

*EMSL*: Malak Tfaily, Rosalie Chu, Liliana Pasa-Tolic John Cliff, Ravi Kukkadapu

Field work: Dustin Proctor, George Sims Sam Campbell, Ray Johnson, Ken Williams

San Juan River, Shiprock, NM





